1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION
3	HONORABLE CONSUELO B. MARSHALL, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
4	
5	CODV
6	COPY
7 8 9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  PLAINTIFF, )
10	vs. ) No. CR06-391-CBM ) STEVEN PROWLER, )
11	DEFENDANT. )
13 14	
15	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
17	MONDAY, APRIL 19, 2010
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CINDY L. NIRENBERG, CSR 5059 U.S. Official Court Reporter
24	312 North Spring Street, #438 Los Angeles, California 90012
25	www.cindynirenberg.com

## Case 2:06-cr-00391-CBM Document 125 Filed 09/29/10 Page 2 of 46 Page ID #:354

```
1
     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
 3
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
                         OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
 4
                          BY: SHERILYN PEACE GARNETT,
                             ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
 5
                          312 NORTH SPRING STREET
                          12TH FLOOR
 6
                          LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
                          213-894-2434
 7
     FOR THE DEFENDANT:
 9
                         OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
                          BY: CHRISTOPHER DYBWAD,
10
                              DEPUTY FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
                              GUY IVERSON,
11
                              DEPUTY FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
                          321 EAST 2ND STREET
12
                          LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
                          213-894-2854
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, APRIL 19, 2010
 1
                              2:00 P.M.
 3
 4
               THE CLERK: Calling Item Number 5, CR06-391, USA
 5
    versus Steven Erik Prowler.
 6
               Appearances, please.
 7
              MS. GARNETT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Sherilyn
 8
     Garnett for the United States. Also seated with me at counsel
 9
     table is Supervisory Special Agent Gary Kiernan and Special
10
    Agent Sean Mofferty with ICE.
11
               THE COURT: Good afternoon.
12
              MR. DYBWAD: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Chris
13
    Dybwad on behalf of Mr. Prowler, who is appearing via video
14
     conference, and with me at counsel table is Guy Iverson also of
15
    the Federal Public Defender's Office.
16
               THE COURT: Good afternoon. And so I notice Mr.
17
    Prowler is participating, as counsel said, by video, but we
18
    can't see his face.
19
              MR. DYBWAD: Mr. Prowler, if you sit down -- there we
20
    go.
21
               THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Prowler.
               THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
22
23
               THE COURT: So we will depend on you to let us know
    if you are unable to hear what's being said here or if you
24
25
     can't see.
```

1 One of the speakers, please let us know and we can 2 correct that. 3 Also, the last time that you appeared by video, I did ask you at that time whether it was your desire to appear by 4 video rather than being here live for your sentencing hearing. 5 6 You do have a right to physically be here in the courtroom for 7 the sentencing hearing, and I think I explained to you the last 8 time that you appeared by video that if you wished to be here, 9 transportation would be arranged so that you could be here. 10 So do you understand, sir, that you do have a right 11 to be physically present in the courtroom with everyone else 12 for this sentencing hearing? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I understand I have 14 that right, and I voluntarily waived it. It was my own 15 decision. 16 THE COURT: So it's your desire to not come to the 17 courtroom for the sentencing hearing but to be present or 18 participate at the sentencing hearing by way of video? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I felt that the 20 transportation and all that would be involved in my stay at the 21 Los Angeles Detention Center would be just too physically and 22 emotionally stressful for me, especially over a period of 23 months, and knowing what -- all that would be involved, I felt this would be better. 24 25 THE COURT: You were apparently concerned about the

```
1
     time that it would take to transport you from the facility
     where you're presently located, bring you here to probably the
 3
    Metropolitan Detention Center, waiting there for the hearing,
     and then the time that would be consumed while you attended the
 4
 5
    hearing, and then waited to be transferred back or waited to be
 6
     transferred to whatever facility you would be serving your
 7
     sentence, the time that it would take to do all of that, you
 8
    had some concerns about that?
 9
               THE DEFENDANT: I had serious concerns about its
10
     effect on my emotional and physical health.
11
               THE COURT: And, sir, you probably realize, and your
12
     attorney has probably discussed this with you, there is no
13
     assurance that after the sentencing hearing that you would
14
     remain at the facility where you're presently located, so it is
15
     still possible after the sentence is imposed that you may be
16
     transferred from that facility to a different facility.
17
              Are you aware of that?
18
               THE DEFENDANT: Not really, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: And if that were the case, would that
20
     change your position as to whether you wanted to be physically
21
    here in the courtroom as opposed to participating by video at
22
    this sentencing hearing?
23
               THE DEFENDANT: No, that would not change my decision
     to proceed today as we're doing.
24
25
               THE COURT: All right. Your attorneys have probably
```

```
advised you that even when recommendations are made by counsel
 1
     as to which facility within the Bureau of Prisons you should
 3
     serve your sentence and the Court recommends to the Bureau of
 4
    Prisons that you go to a particular facility for serving your
 5
     sentence, that it's the Bureau of Prisons that decides where
 6
     that will be, and they do not necessarily follow the
 7
     recommendation of the Court or the desire of you or your
 8
     attorney as to where you will serve your sentence.
 9
              Are you aware of that, sir?
10
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11
               THE COURT: Okay. So you still may be transferred
12
     from where you're presently located to a different place, and I
13
     understand you to be saying that even if that is the case, you
14
     still prefer and it is your desire to participate by video
15
     rather than being here in the courtroom --
16
               THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.
17
               THE COURT: -- is my understanding correct?
18
               And so where are you presently?
19
               THE DEFENDANT: I'm located at FCI Seagoville, Texas.
20
               THE COURT: And how long have you been at that
21
     facility?
22
               THE DEFENDANT: It's roughly about two-and-a-half
23
    years.
24
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
25
               I want to raise another matter as well.
                                                        The last
```

```
time that you participated by video at a hearing, we did not go
 1
     forward with the sentencing hearing because the Court felt that
     there were things that needed to be done before the Court was
 3
     ready to proceed with the sentencing. And one of the things
 4
 5
     that I raised was the fact that you did not have an attorney
 6
    present at the facility where you are located.
 7
              Now, there are two attorneys present in the courtroom
 8
     and, of course, they are representing you, but neither of them
 9
     is at the facility where you're located. I had some concern
10
     about that. I raised that concern, so I want to explore with
11
    you a little bit about that.
12
               It is correct that there is no attorney presently at
13
     that facility with you representing you for purposes of the
14
     sentencing hearing, am I correct?
15
               THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: Have you met with counsel and actually
17
    talked with counsel about your sentencing hearing since the
18
     last appearance where you participated by video?
19
              MR. DYBWAD: And, Your Honor, if you would include
20
     telephonically in that question.
21
               THE COURT: So have there been meetings between you
22
     and your attorneys who are representing you for purposes of the
23
     sentencing since you've last appeared by video? And counsel
    has asked that the Court include telephonic communications.
24
25
               THE DEFENDANT: What -- we've had a number of
```

```
telephone conversations and written correspondence. We have
 1
     not had a personal face-to-face meeting.
 3
               THE COURT: And how do you feel about that?
               THE DEFENDANT: We had a number of --
 4
 5
               THE COURT: I was going to ask you --
               THE DEFENDANT: How did I feel about it?
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Yes. Do you feel that you are ready to
 8
    proceed with the sentencing hearing even though there have been
 9
     no face-to-face conversations between you and your attorney
10
     since the last hearing?
11
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I feel I am ready to proceed.
                                                                   I
12
     feel that Mr. Dybwad has fully answered my questions and has
13
     addressed my concerns in all our previous communications since
14
     then.
15
               THE COURT: And, sir, the last time I think I
16
     identified the various things that I have read and considered
17
     for purposes of the sentencing hearing, so I'm going to
18
     identify those again, and I want to know if you have read these
19
     papers, if you have discussed them with your attorney, and
20
     whether you have them with you now as we proceed with the
21
     sentencing hearing.
               So let me identify them first. There is a report
22
23
    prepared by the probation department which gives the Court more
     information about you. It's the Pre-sentence Investigation
24
25
     Report, and it was disclosed to the parties May 23rd, 2007.
```

```
1
               Have you seen this report, sir?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: And have you read it?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: And have you discussed it with your
 6
     attorneys?
 7
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I did originally.
 8
               THE COURT: And if there was anything in that report
 9
     to which you had an objection, did you tell your attorneys
10
     about that subject?
11
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes, I did.
12
               THE COURT: And do you know whether your attorneys
13
    have, in fact, objected by filing something with the Court to
14
     those things that are in the Pre-sentence Report to which you
15
    have objections?
16
               THE DEFENDANT: There was one thing that stands out
17
     in my mind that I was very concerned about was a fabrication --
18
     somewhere along the line that I had tried to escape from the
19
     prison in Bangkok, and the response -- our response to this was
20
     addressed in a footnote simply to the effect that I had firmly
21
     denied ever trying to escape from that facility.
22
               I was most upset about that because I felt that that
23
     originated with the authorities in Thailand and it was picked
     up by the authorities in the United States, and it's possible
24
25
     that that fabrication was used to deny me bail in Thailand and
```

```
1
    has had a ripple effect.
               MR. DYBWAD: And, Your Honor -- sorry, Mr. Prowler.
 3
               And, Your Honor, that objection is contained in the
 4
     Defendant's Position re Sentencing from the original sentencing
 5
    proceedings. Mr. Prowler accurately identified that it's
 6
     contained in a footnote in that pleading.
 7
               THE COURT: And so the defendant addressed it in the
 8
     defendant's position paper that you filed with the Court?
 9
               MR. DYBWAD: The original papers in connection with
10
     the original sentencing, Your Honor, yes.
11
               THE COURT: Mr. Prowler, I will identify the
12
     additional things that I have read for purposes of preparing
13
     for this hearing and I want to know for each of these whether
14
    you have also read them and discussed them with your attorney.
15
               In addition to the Pre-sentence Report prepared by
16
    probation, there is a confidential letter. The letter is dated
17
     June 25th, 2007 prepared by Probation and the letter was
18
     originally prepared for Judge Takasugi's consideration and it
     starts out, "Dear Judge Takasugi."
19
20
               In that letter, Probation does indicate the sentence
21
     that Probation thinks is appropriate to be imposed, as well as
    the conditions of supervised release, and there are 19
22
     conditions. That letter also includes Probation's
23
     justification for why Probation calculates the sentence in the
24
25
    manner in which they do.
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
There is an addendum to the Pre-sentence Report, and
this is also prepared by Probation. It contains objections by
the government that Probation received, and it says, "The
government has no factual objections and no objections to the
probation officer's recommended application of the guidelines.
The government seeks a sentence of 327 months of imprisonment."
          And then Probation also indicates objections that the
defense made, and it indicates, "Although the defendant has no
factual objections, he does object to the following sentencing
guideline enhancements," and so Probation addresses those as
well.
         Also included is the Judgment and Commitment Order
that was prepared as a result of the sentence that was imposed
by Judge Takasugi. That is the sentence that was appealed to
the Circuit.
          And then again, there is the Pre-sentence Report,
which I mentioned earlier.
          So, sir, have you seen and discussed with your
attorney the confidential letter that I referred to, as well as
the objections that were made to the Pre-sentence Report by the
government, as well as the defense counsel and Probation's
response thereto?
         MR. DYBWAD: And, Your Honor, if I may preliminarily
address part of that question.
```

The defense and Mr. Prowler have never seen the

```
1
     confidential letter. The original sentencing, Judge Takasugi
     on the record gave what amounted to I believe an accurate
 3
     summary of that recommendation letter, but that recommendation
     letter itself has never been disclosed to the defense or to Mr.
 4
 5
     Prowler, presumably the government as well.
               THE COURT: So -- but last time that you were in
 6
 7
     court, this Court, I did not disclose to you the actual
     confidential letter that's dated June 25th, 2007?
 8
 9
              MR. DYBWAD: I don't believe so, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: I generally do, so that's -- I usually
11
    make it available to both the defense and the government. And
12
     if I didn't do that, then I am prepared to make it available to
13
    both sides before we complete the sentencing hearing. But at
14
     least it's your memory that you never actually saw the physical
15
     letter itself?
16
              MR. DYBWAD: And, Your Honor, I hate to misspeak, but
17
     it's my memory that I have not seen that confidential letter.
18
               I do know that Judge Takasugi gave a detailed summary
19
     of its contents, and presumably the Judgment and Commitment
20
     Order contains at least whatever proposed supervised release
21
     conditions that Judge Takasugi saw fit, but, again, I have
22
    never seen the letter.
23
               THE COURT: The defense has in its position paper
     objected to those conditions of supervised release that you
24
25
     felt should not be included, am I correct?
```

```
MR. DYBWAD: That is correct, Your Honor, and that
 1
     comes from the original Judgment and Commitment Order of the
 3
     conditions imposed at that time.
               THE COURT: All right. Then I'll accept counsel's
 4
 5
     representation on that.
              Mr. Prowler, the other document that I have before me
 6
 7
     is the Defendant's Position re Re-sentencing. This is a
     document that was filed October 13th, 2009.
 9
              And so my question again, sir, is have you read this
10
    position paper and had an opportunity -- or actually discussed
11
     it with counsel who are representing you at the sentencing
12
    hearing?
13
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I have seen that
14
     and we have discussed it.
15
               THE COURT: I also have and have read for purposes of
16
     this sentencing hearing the Government's Supplemental
     Sentencing Position re Re-sentencing. This is a document also
17
18
     filed October 13th, 2009.
19
               Have you read this paper and discussed it with your
20
     counsel?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: I'm not sure about that one, Your
22
    Honor. I did read the original pleading from the government.
     I'm a little confused now as to whether that's -- whether
23
     that's what you are referring to.
24
25
               THE COURT: This document that I have just referred
```

```
to was actually filed October 13th, 2009. It's entitled Government's Supplemental Sentencing Position re Re-sentencing, so I don't believe that this is the document that was prepared for the original sentencing hearing.
```

So should I understand, sir, that you do not know whether you actually read the government's supplemental sentencing position and discussed that with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry. I am recalling now that I did read that. That was provided to me by Mr. Dybwad.

THE COURT: Now, one of the reasons I was delayed in taking the bench this afternoon is some additional things have been filed that I was trying to read before I took the bench, so I want to ask you about those.

There is a Supplemental Information re Re-sentencing, and this was actually filed I believe on April 13th of this year, but I did not see it until just this morning, and that's just because I wasn't here at the time that it was filed.

Attached to that are Exhibits A, B and C. And those exhibits give the Court more information about things that you have done, programs that you've participated in, inmate skills, development plan, a certificate indicating that you have completed certain programs that were offered by the Bureau of Prisons, and then Exhibit C is a poem that you have written entitled Figure Eights' Infinite Paths, so that is something else that I have read.

```
1
               Sir, is that a document that you have seen, read and
     discussed with your counsel?
 3
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: And then this afternoon just before I
 5
     took the bench, brought to my attention was the government's ex
 6
    parte application for an order sealing certain documents. A
 7
     declaration of government's counsel is attached thereto, and
 8
     the documents that are referenced are the Victim Impact
 9
     Statements re Sentencing.
10
               So that document was -- I'm not sure of the file
     date, but the last page shows that it was either mailed or
11
12
    provided or served upon the public defender's office on April
13
     the 16th.
14
               So I would first just ask defense counsel, have you
15
     seen the document that I just described?
16
               MR. DYBWAD: I have, Your Honor. I don't believe Mr.
17
    Prowler has. I attempted to mail-serve at that point Mr.
18
    Prowler, but I've received and reviewed it, but I don't believe
19
    he has yet.
20
               THE COURT: Is this a document that you feel that you
21
     should discuss with him so that he has an opportunity to
22
     address it through you or himself before we complete the
23
     sentencing hearing?
24
               MR. DYBWAD: Your Honor, I believe we can go forward
25
     at this time. And the way I was going to propose to the Court
```

```
that we go forward is when the Court is ready to start argument
 1
     after the defense argues and the government argues, I was going
     to propose that we can take a five-minute break to allow me to
 3
 4
     telephonically confer with Mr. Prowler and answer any questions
 5
    he has at that point.
               I'm prepared to address the information contained
 6
 7
     therein as of right now.
 8
               THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Prowler, I think
 9
    you've heard defense counsel indicate as to this Victim Impact
10
     Statement, he's reviewed it. He has not provided it to you for
11
     your review, and you have not had a discussion about this
12
     document, but he does ask at some time during these proceedings
13
     the Court take a recess, counsel will then call you by phone
14
     and will discuss with you anything that he feels the two of you
15
     need to talk about before the Court completes the sentencing
16
     hearing.
17
               So is that acceptable to you?
18
               THE DEFENDANT: It is acceptable, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: Those are the things that I have read to
20
    prepare for the hearing today.
21
               I do want the record to reflect, so counsel are
22
     aware, the defendant is aware, I have not read the original
23
     sentencing papers. So the position papers that were filed
    prior to the sentencing by Judge Takasugi, I have not read
24
25
     those. I know that they are part of the file and, certainly, I
```

could read them, but I just haven't read them, so I want you to know that.

Because this is a re-sentencing, the Circuit having remanded the case so that the Court could sentence again, I didn't feel it was necessary to go back and read the original position papers, so I've only read those supplemental papers that have been filed.

So if either counsel believes that the Court should read, before the Court completes the sentencing hearing, the original position papers that you filed with the Court, you may need to review those, bring that to my attention, and then I will, obviously, review those before I impose the sentence, but I do want you to know that I have not read them. And even if I have, I don't remember what's included in them at this point. So for purposes of this hearing, I'll be relying upon those documents that I've identified which have been reviewed by the Court.

Is there anything else that I failed to mention that has been filed? And, of course, that could include your original position papers that you feel that the Court should review before the Court imposes sentence.

MR. DYBWAD: Your Honor, I have listed three documents. There were actually two documents with the same title of Defendant's Supplemental Information re Sentencing.

One of them was manually filed, and I believe that's

```
the one that the Court alluded to that contained the Bureau of
 1
    Prison's progress report.
 3
               There was another one that was e-filed that
     contained -- I believe it's Document 95. It contains some
 4
 5
     additional exhibits regarding Mr. Prowler's Torah studies.
 6
               THE COURT: When was it filed?
 7
               MR. DYBWAD: Unfortunately, I've hole-punched
 8
     directly through the date.
               THE COURT: What is the document number?
 9
10
               MR. DYBWAD: Document Number 95, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Ninety-five. Now, I may have read that
12
     document in preparation for the hearing last time, but I'll ask
13
     someone on my staff to locate that document so that at least I
14
     can have it before me and I will be able to tell you whether
15
     it's something that I previously read.
16
               And then was there another document as well?
17
              MR. DYBWAD: There were two other documents which I
18
    believe the -- which the Court alluded to.
19
               There was a defense Objection to Supervised Release
20
     Conditions in accordance with what the Court indicated one
21
     subject it wanted to address at the re-sentencing, and the
22
    government also filed a response to the defendant's objections
23
     to the supervised release conditions.
24
               I believe Mr. Prowler has the defense filing but
25
    probably not the government filing.
```

```
THE COURT: And, again, I believe that the Court's
 1
 2
     read both of those. I don't have those documents before me at
 3
     this moment, but because I am aware that the defense did object
 4
     to conditions of supervised release and I'm prepared to comment
 5
     on those and I'm also aware that the government responded to
 6
     those, I believe those are documents that I have read, but I
 7
     don't have them before me on the bench this afternoon, I
 8
     believe.
              Let's see. One of my law clerks has given me a note
 9
10
     that might refresh my recollection.
11
               Okay. Apparently, the clerk will print out those
12
     documents, and so before we complete the sentencing hearing, I
13
     will have reviewed them.
14
              MS. GARNETT: Your Honor, I'd also like to -- I'm not
     sure if the Court referred to the Amended Declaration of Gary
15
16
     Kiernan with the accompanying exhibits, but that was a document
17
     that we also filed.
18
               THE COURT: That was filed by the government?
19
              MS. GARNETT: Yes, on November 20th, 2009. It's a
20
     sealed document.
21
               THE COURT: And I don't know if that's the document
22
     that I reviewed, but, again, since it's been called to my
23
     attention, I'm sure the clerk will make sure that I get it, and
     I'll put something on the record once I have read it. And it
24
25
    may be that I previously read it and just am not remembering at
```

```
the moment, but I know I don't have it before me at this time.
 1
               The defense has that document?
               MR. DYBWAD: I do, Your Honor.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: And is that a document that you have
 5
     discussed with Mr. Prowler?
               MR. DYBWAD: Discussed the contents. For various
 6
 7
     reasons of the information contained within it, I have not
 8
    mailed it to Mr. Prowler.
 9
               THE COURT: So what I think should be done with these
10
     documents that counsel indicates that you may have had a
11
     discussion on the subject of the document but not actually
12
    mailed it to the defendant or actually discussed it with him,
13
    before we complete the sentencing hearing, you have that
14
    telephone conversation with Mr. Prowler, then this is one of
15
     the things that you need to discuss with him.
16
               So, Mr. Prowler, one thing that would typically
17
    happen if you were here in this courtroom where the sentencing
18
    hearing is taking place, all of these documents that we're
19
     referring to that maybe you have not yet read or just don't
20
     remember them or maybe have not yet discussed them with
21
     counsel, or you discussed them, but you didn't read them or you
     don't remember them, I would give you the opportunity to read
22
23
     them before I completed the sentencing hearing.
               Because you're not physically here in the courtroom,
24
25
     we can certainly get these documents to you. It's just a
```

matter of making them available either by fax or some electronic means or some other means, and we can still do that if these are things that you would want the opportunity to have time to review them, to also discuss them with your counsel as to what impact they may have upon the sentencing itself.

So we will move along, but I will ask you later whether you want to review any of these documents. If you do, I'll make sure that counsel provides them to you. And then once you've read them, you may want to discuss them with counsel, and if that's your desire, then counsel would arrange that discussion.

I had actually indicated at the last hearing that I felt the better procedure was to have counsel present at the institution where the defendant is located, that one counsel would be here in the courtroom, one counsel would be there so that we would all be assured that Mr. Prowler was looking at the same documents that we have before us and that the Court is considering.

And I know that counsel made a decision that you would do it this way rather than physically having someone there, but the defendant does have a right, obviously, to confer with counsel, and the question is what's the most effective way of doing that.

Is it equally as effective to have the defendant at the institution and we all be here in the courtroom or would it

be more effective if at least one counsel was present with the defendant?

So you might want to put something on the record about that subject, and then I can ask the defendant a few more questions. So I will let defense counsel be heard.

MR. DYBWAD: Yes, Your Honor.

After the last appearance on this matter, we had, again, discussed again proceeding by video conference with Mr. Prowler and discussed whether it would be sufficient to set up conferring with Mr. Prowler by a telephone line that was not able to be heard by the Court and the court publicly. And after that discussion, we deemed it sufficient to go forward with the re-sentencing, given that Mr. Prowler was present at the original sentencing and through a number of pre-trial motions and is familiar with a lot of -- in fact, if not all, the arguments and information that will be presented again to this Court at this time.

THE COURT: And counsel may for the record -- I think generally you did make a statement about the meetings that have taken place between you and Mr. Prowler -- maybe all of them have been telephonic -- or most of them have been telephone since we were last assembled for the sentencing here which was continued and just in what manner you have made materials available to him, discussed with him those materials and feel that at this point you have the benefit of whatever he would

want you to raise with the Court at the sentencing hearing.

I'm not suggesting that you disclose any confidences or waive attorney-client privilege, but I think the record needs to reflect just how those communications have taken place.

MR. DYBWAD: Yes, Your Honor.

There were two methods of communication. One was correspondence by mail when Mr. Prowler would articulate questions based on the upcoming re-sentencing and his understanding of the materials as well as any developments in case law, and there was correspondence back and forth addressing those questions. There were also a number -- quite frankly, it's large enough that I can't remember off the top of my head -- a number of telephonic conversations leading up to today's re-sentencing.

Additionally, the Court inquired about making sure that the Court had up-to-date information about Mr. Prowler and the facility that he's at.

As the Court can tell from the supplemental information that was filed, there is information with a recent progress report from the Bureau of Prisons from FCI Seagoville, as well as other information provided by Mr. Prowler regarding his recent activities, including book reviews, the poem, so I would put that on the record in terms of an information exchange between defense counsel and Mr. Prowler.

```
THE COURT: And you are referring to the document
 1
 2
     that's entitled Supplemental Information re Sentencing with the
 3
     attachments A, B and C?
 4
              MR. DYBWAD: That is correct, Your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: And lodged with the Court I think April
 6
     13th --
 7
              MR. DYBWAD: That is correct.
 8
               THE COURT: -- of this year?
               All right. Then, Mr. Prowler, again, the Court will
 9
10
     just permit you to address the Court, if you wish to, sir.
11
               Your counsel has just described the method of
12
     communicating with you since the last hearing, and I would just
13
     inquire of you do you agree with counsel's representations to
14
     the Court that those are the various methods of communication?
15
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: And your counsel indicated that things
17
    may have been mailed to you and you may have had questions
18
     about those things and you would have, in turn, mailed those
19
     questions to counsel and then counsel would have mailed some
20
     response to you.
21
               Is that what you recall occurring?
22
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I was -- I very carefully
23
     looked over everything and wrote letters, very thorough, and I
24
     was very satisfied with the replies I got from my counsel.
25
               THE COURT: And your counsel also says that there
```

```
have been telephone calls where you have had -- you had spoken
 1
    to counsel telephonically, and you agree that that has also
 3
     occurred?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: And, sir, you are still requesting that
    we proceed with this sentencing hearing with you participating
 6
 7
    by video?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I'm just -- since I've learned
 8
 9
     that there have been some additional documents filed with the
10
     Court that I haven't seen, particularly recent documents, I
11
     would want to receive a fax transmission if possible.
12
               If that can be done during our proceeding today so
13
     that I could discuss them if necessary with my counsel, that
14
    would be very much appreciated.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from counsel as
16
     to your position on that. Whether or not these various
17
     documents that Mr. Prowler has not seen that have been
18
     identified for this record, do you feel that you will be able
19
     to have an adequate discussion with him about these papers,
20
     such as he suggests, faxing them to him today?
21
               He needs an opportunity to review them. He would
22
     also need an opportunity to question you, apparently, as he's
23
     done in the past, about anything that he may want to question
    you about, and then you would need to be able to respond to
24
25
     those questions.
```

```
1
               MR. DYBWAD: I do believe it's sufficient, Your
     Honor.
 3
               And if I may add just a little bit more information.
 4
     The category of documents we're talking about are three
 5
     documents.
               The government filed objections -- well, a reply to
 6
 7
     the defense objections regarding supervised release conditions
 8
     on Friday. At that point it was too late to get that
 9
     information to Mr. Prowler, but I believe that I can adequately
10
     discuss that over the telephone with him.
11
               The government also filed Victim Impact Statements
12
     under seal. I believe that that will be addressed during the
13
     sentencing and I'll also be able to communicate with Mr.
14
    Prowler about the contents of that while the sentencing is
15
     ongoing.
16
               The government alluded to a third document which I
17
     did not provide to Mr. Prowler and, in fact, I don't believe
     that I can provide to Mr. Prowler; however, the information --
18
19
     due to the nature of the information contained as exhibits to
20
     it, however, the information that is contained as exhibits are
21
     evidence in this case that all parties are familiar with, and
22
    what that document is is a summary of certain parts of journals
23
     and other tangible evidence which I have previously discussed
24
     with Mr. Prowler.
25
               THE COURT: Are you referring to the document -- and
```

```
1
     I now have it before me -- entitled Amended Exhibits A through
    U previously filed as attachments to the Declaration of Gary J.
    Kiernan, K-I-E-R-N-A-N --
 3
 4
              MR. DYBWAD: Yes.
 5
               THE COURT: -- in Support of the Government's
 6
    Position re Sentencing of the defendant? And the document, I
 7
     don't have a file stamp on it, but --
 8
              MS. GARNETT: November 20th, Your Honor, it was
 9
     filed.
10
              MR. DYBWAD: And, Your Honor, that is the document
11
     I'm referring to.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. So does counsel represent it was
13
     filed November 20th?
14
               MS. GARNETT: Yes, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: 2009?
16
               MS. GARNETT: Yes, Your Honor. And also that
17
     document is identical to another document that was filed for
18
     the original sentencing that I'm assuming that defense counsel
     and Mr. Prowler had access to because Mr. Prowler came to the
19
20
     original sentencing or appeared in person.
21
               The only difference between the document that was
22
     filed in the original sentencing and the one that the Court has
    before it that there are Bates numbers on the exhibits.
2.3
24
               MR. DYBWAD: And, again, Your Honor, I believe that's
25
     correct. All the information contained in there was discussed,
```

```
reviewed, made available to Mr. Prowler and was discussed at
 1
    the original sentencing.
 3
               THE COURT: And just for Mr. Prowler's purposes, the
 4
     declaration that's prepared under penalty of perjury by I
 5
    believe the agent was executed on September 7, 2007 in Bangkok,
 6
     Thailand.
 7
               So, Mr. Prowler, the document that we're referring
 8
     to, you've heard what both counsel have said about this
 9
     document. The declaration does have exhibits attached to it,
10
     and I've identified those as Exhibits A through U, U as
11
     in umbrella, and counsel believe that you reviewed this
12
     document for purposes of the original sentence hearing.
13
               So do you remember that document?
14
               THE DEFENDANT: I believe so.
               THE COURT: And do you believe it is a document that
15
16
    you reviewed and discussed with defense counsel prior to the
17
     original sentencing hearing?
               THE DEFENDANT: What is the name -- what does that
18
19
     refer to, the contents?
20
               MR. DYBWAD: Your Honor, if I may, the contents
21
     consist of excerpts from journal entries, as well as excerpts
22
     from photograph albums I believe we're familiar with. And it
23
     purports -- or it doesn't purport, it's a summary of those
24
     excerpts.
25
              MS. GARNETT: It's essentially a summary of evidence
```

```
seized from the defendant consisting of what the defense
 1
     counsel just mentioned, as well as index cards, ledgers,
 3
    photographs, as well as the reports of investigation in this
     case, all of which defendant has authored on the stuff that we
 4
 5
     took from him, and then he has seen the reports from the
 6
     agents.
 7
               THE COURT: So with the description given by both
 8
     counsel in the case, does that help you remember what this
     document is and what it contains?
 9
10
               THE DEFENDANT: So this document was submitted for
11
     the original sentencing; is that correct?
12
              MR. DYBWAD: That is correct?
13
               THE DEFENDANT: All right then.
14
               I was just concerned that there was some supplemental
15
     documents submitted recently that were not seen by me, but if
16
     these pertain to the original sentencing, then that's
17
     satisfactory enough for me because I know I was given that
18
     opportunity to review everything.
19
               THE COURT: Maybe this might be helpful. If there is
20
     a way to get this document, this specific document that we're
21
     referring to and maybe others as well, to the defendant so that
22
    he could see the document, it may help him know whether or not
23
     it's a document that he's previously seen and what he'd like
     for counsel to say about the document.
24
25
               As I said today -- I mean, I've read all these things
```

```
fairly recently but don't remember each of the documents, the
 1
     content of every document, so it may be that the defendant has
 3
     seen the document, he just doesn't remember the document in the
    manner in which we are describing it.
 4
 5
               Does the defense have any suggestions?
               I do have other people in the courtroom. I have
 6
 7
     other things that I could do this afternoon, so if we needed to
 8
     take a recess so that counsel would feel assured that the
 9
     defendant is looking at what we're looking at, maybe we can
10
    make that available.
11
               MR. IVERSEN: May I have a moment, Your Honor?
12
               THE COURT: Sure.
13
          (Counsel confer off the record.)
14
               MR. DYBWAD: Your Honor, again, I believe we're
15
     talking about three documents, one of which Mr. Prowler has
16
     indicated a familiarity with from the original sentencing.
17
               The concern about that document, the declaration of
18
    Agent Kiernan, is that, quite frankly, under the current
19
     supervised release conditions, Mr. Prowler cannot possess it.
20
               We could fax it over -- we can fax the declaration
21
    part over to the prison, but someone would need to shred it
22
     after that. But, again, I believe Mr. Prowler is familiar with
23
     all of that information from the original sentencing.
24
               The government's remaining two documents are Victim
25
     Impact Statements and the objections to supervised release
```

```
conditions. Those we could fax over this afternoon.
 1
 2
               I believe we're in a position to go forward and
 3
     discuss during a break with Mr. Prowler those two documents
     which were filed at the end of last week. I do note that the
 4
 5
     Court has a full courtroom. However the Court wants to
 6
    proceed. But, again, that one document I don't believe, even
 7
     if we did fax it over there, someone would need to shred it
 8
     shortly thereafter.
 9
               THE COURT: Well, let me say this, Mr. Prowler -- I
10
     think you want to say something, so let me let you be heard
11
     first, and then I will comment on what your counsel has just
12
     said.
13
               THE DEFENDANT: My question is actually directed to
14
    my case manager who is with me, Mr. Martinez.
15
               Do we have the capability in this facility for me to
16
     see this document being shredded?
17
              VOICE: Yes. We can shred it. Let me see if we have
18
    a fax machine.
               THE DEFENDANT: He said "yes," and he's going to
19
20
     check to make sure that there is a fax machine up here.
21
               THE COURT: So you asked that question -- did you ask
22
     that question, sir, because you would like to have this
23
     document before you but you just want to make sure that the
     facility has the capability of shredding it once you have seen
24
25
     it?
```

```
THE DEFENDANT: Well, it's been expressed that this
 1
 2
     is a document that I suppose could compromise my safety, and if
 3
     that's the case, it really should be destroyed immediately
 4
     after I scan it. But I just wanted to stress that it's not my
 5
     intention in any way to delay the proceedings. I would hope we
 6
     can move everything along, but I just want to be sure that
 7
     we've covered all bases and we can just dispose of the material
 8
     quickly enough.
 9
               THE COURT: Sir, would you like to see the material
10
    that we're talking about, the amended exhibits and declaration?
11
               THE DEFENDANT: Is there any reason why -- again, I'm
12
     sorry for my hesitancy on this. Is there any reason why my
13
     counsel feels I should not see it?
14
               MR. DYBWAD: Well, Your Honor --
15
               THE COURT: That's a matter that I think you and your
16
     counsel can discuss so that he can discuss that with you
17
    personally, so I won't try to respond to that.
18
               As I've indicated, there will be some time during the
19
     course of this proceeding that we'll take a recess and your
20
     counsel will speak with you and he can better answer that
21
     question.
22
               Let me just say a couple of things before we proceed
23
     further, and then I'll ask another question of counsel.
24
              Most of the discussion that we've had so far, Mr.
25
    Prowler, is because I want to be sure that you understand if
```

there is any disadvantage in your not being physically here, what we're going to be doing here, and we will be looking at things that, of course, are not before you so you will not have the opportunity to see those things.

So the original sentence that was imposed in this case was ten years by Judge Takasugi, as you know. That was appealed by the government. The Ninth Circuit has remanded it for sentencing, and I will hear from everyone here, including you, before I make a decision as to what the sentence may be, but you must keep in mind the sentence could be higher than the sentence that Judge Takasugi imposed.

I think you are aware that both the probation department and the United States Attorney's office have asked for a sentence that's considerably higher than the one that Judge Takasugi imposed.

Your counsel, of course, have argued to this Court that the sentence that was imposed, which is a ten-year sentence, is the sentence that should be imposed by this Court.

I don't know that that will be the case. So I do want you to understand that you may be looking at a much higher sentence, and if that affects your decision in any way to participate by video conferencing instead of coming physically here to the courtroom, that's something that you need to consider.

Before you respond, you might want to discuss this

```
with counsel. And if you'd like to do that, I'll give you that
 1
     opportunity, but do you understand the statement that I have
 3
    made?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: So would you like to talk with your
 6
     counsel before we go further just on this issue as to whether
 7
     you should still request to participate in this sentencing
 8
     hearing by video rather than being physically here?
 9
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I would like to discuss all of
10
     this with him.
11
               THE COURT: Okay. I think this is the break time.
12
    And so during this break, I think counsel can do a number of
13
     things. You may be able to acquaint and help Mr. Prowler
14
     recall the amended exhibits A through U and the declaration
15
     such that he is satisfied that he's seen it, is familiar with
16
     its content and either wants to see it again by having you make
17
     it available to him or doesn't need to see it again.
18
               You may also apprise him of those additional
19
     documents that we discussed that he has not yet seen and the
20
     content of those documents so, again, he would know whether
     those are documents that he feels that he needs to review
21
22
    before he can proceed with the sentencing hearing.
23
               Then I'll let you advise the Court as to whether he
     still wishes to proceed in this manner or he would prefer being
24
25
    physically here.
```

```
One of the comments I made earlier is he may be
 1
 2
     transferred anyway from this facility where he's presently
 3
     located, so if a part of his reason for not wanting to come
    here for the sentencing hearing was he's comfortable where he
 4
 5
     is and he'd like to remain there, that could change anyway, as
 6
    we all know. Not that I have anything specific in mind, but I
 7
     just think it's something that he must consider.
 8
               I do not know, but counsel probably do know, if he
 9
     were to be transferred here for the sentencing hearing, what
10
     that actually entails, how long that's likely to be, how long
11
     he would likely be at MDC, all of the things that may be
12
     concerning him that's causing him to feel, you know, "I should
13
     stay where I am," and participate by video rather than
14
    physically coming to the courtroom.
15
               I still express concern that there's no counsel there
16
     with him, and I don't think counsel is concerned about that.
17
     So the Court may be overly concerned, and apparently Mr.
18
    Prowler isn't concerned about that either, but at least I think
19
     it's something that needs to be addressed.
20
               So why don't we take the recess at this time.
21
               And how much time should I give you? I don't know
     that 15 minutes is adequate, but if that's what you think is
22
23
     adequate, then I'll take 15.
              MR. DYBWAD: I think 15 minutes would be adequate.
24
25
               THE COURT: Okay. We'll take a 15-minute break.
```

```
1
               In the meantime, I'll be handling some of the other
    matters.
 3
              MR. DYBWAD: Understood.
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 4
 5
          (Recess taken from 2:50 to 3:15.)
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. We're back on the record.
 7
    Appearances have been noted. This is after the break.
               Mr. Prowler is with us and counsel has had an
 8
 9
     opportunity to speak with him.
10
               And would counsel like to put something on the
11
     record?
12
              MR. DYBWAD: Yes, Your Honor, and I'll put it in a
13
    very summary fashion.
14
               I believe we only have 15 minutes left -- or at this
15
    point probably ten minutes left on the video link, so at this
16
    point, we are probably not proceeding forward with the
17
     re-sentencing.
18
                With regard to -- without going into the substance
19
     of the communication, again, there have been three documents
20
     that we discussed. The declaration of Gary Kiernan discussed
21
     with Mr. Prowler, I believe his memory is refreshed that it
    contains material that we have previously reviewed in person.
22
23
               The other two documents, the Victim Impact Statements
     and the government's response to the defense's objections
24
25
     regarding supervised release, were discussed with Mr. Prowler
```

```
on the telephone, the substance of them.
 1
               Again, I think we're now in a position where we're
 3
     not going forward today, and those last two documents could be
     faxed to his facility and made available to him so that he can
 4
 5
     review them at his leisure.
               It's my understanding that Mr. Prowler, but I don't
 6
 7
     want to speak for him, would still like to go forward with
 8
    video conference, and that's his position, and it's his right
    to waive if he's comfortable with it. That's what I would put
 9
10
     on the record.
11
               THE COURT: All right.
12
              Mr. Prowler?
13
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: You've heard the statement made by your
15
     counsel. Is it still your desire after speaking with him to
16
    proceed by video?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.
18
               I want to emphasize I, myself, am a very meticulous
19
     and thorough person. I ask many questions. At times I'm
20
     accused of asking too many questions, and I've had the
     opportunity to discuss these documents with counsel.
21
22
               I am satisfied that we've covered everything we
23
     should cover. And weighing the pros and cons of my -- again,
    my physically coming out to Los Angeles -- you know, I'm a
24
25
     great believer in face-to-face communication, but at my age --
```

```
and my physical and emotional state is such that it would just
 1
    be too much for me to endure, and I feel satisfied that the
 3
     video link is adequate and even more than adequate, and I feel
 4
     that it's really the best option at this time.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. Sir, so you know that you
    have a right to be physically present here in the courtroom for
 6
 7
     the sentencing hearing; is that correct?
 8
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
              My question to you is if within the next -- let's
 9
10
     say, 24 hours -- I were to decide otherwise, could I do that?
11
               THE COURT: You could, sir. All you need to do is
12
     communicate that to your counsel. He would advise the Court
13
     and then we would make the arrangements for you to be
14
    transported here to Los Angeles for the hearing.
15
               THE DEFENDANT: Thank you very much, but, again, I
16
     feel comfortable enough with the video arrangement.
17
               THE COURT: Sir, do you make this decision freely and
18
    voluntarily?
19
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: No promises have been made to you that's
21
     causing you to decide to participate by video rather than in
22
    person?
23
               THE DEFENDANT: No promises whatever, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: No threats have been made to you or
24
25
     anyone near or dear to you that's causing you to make this
```

```
1
     decision?
               THE DEFENDANT: That is correct, Your Honor. No
 3
     threats have been made.
 4
               THE COURT: And you've had a discussion on this very
 5
     subject with your counsel?
 6
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: And he has given you his advice as to the
 8
     difference between being here in person and participating by
     video?
 9
10
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, he has. And I can add that as
11
    painful as it is for me to endure yet another postponement of
12
     the hearings, I know we can take advantage of the additional
13
     time to discuss any other loose ends we have. So there is some
14
    good to the delay, and I feel sure that this will work out.
15
               THE COURT: And, sir, just one final question. Would
16
    you prefer having an attorney present at that facility with you
17
     as we proceed with the sentencing hearing since you are going
18
    to be participating by video?
19
               THE DEFENDANT: I think that would be a splendid
20
     idea. The other gentleman who was with Mr. Dybwad, perhaps he
21
     could come out for the next hearing. I have always had faith
22
     in Mr. Dybwad in his presentations in court, and I would choose
    to have --
2.3
          (Brief interruption in the proceedings.)
24
25
               THE DEFENDANT: -- I would choose to have him
```

```
represent me, and the other gentleman can come out to see me to
 1
    be here at the hearing. I think that's a good idea.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. I was just going to ask for
 4
     counsel, anything further that you believe the Court should
 5
     place on the record or inquiry that should be made concerning
 6
     the waiver?
               MR. DYBWAD: I don't believe so, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Government's counsel?
 8
              MS. GARNETT: I just want to point out for the Court,
 9
10
     though, that in government counsel's argument, I do plan on
11
     going through the exhibits and pointing to specific references,
12
     so I agree with Mr. Prowler that I think it would be an
13
     excellent idea to have Mr. Iverson go out and perhaps have a
14
     copy of the declaration of Mr. Kiernan with Mr. Prowler.
15
               THE COURT: Well, that's what I expected would happen
16
     this time.
17
               I mean, when we had our last hearing, I think I
18
     suggested that there should be an attorney or someone
19
     physically present with him, even if it were a paralegal or
20
     someone, that had all the documents, could make sure that he
21
    has the document, he has the right page so that he knows what's
22
    being discussed, and I still feel that that is one way of doing
     it and counsel should consider that.
23
24
               Obviously, your counsel and your reasons for doing it
25
     differently may be very good reasons, and I'm not asking that
```

you share those with the Court, but I am concerned that the defendant does not have the ability to have all the documents that are going to be used and to have someone with him who can tell us that he's now looking at the document, he's reviewed the document, he has questions about the document, so I still think counsel should consider that.

MR. DYBWAD: And, Your Honor, two quick points.

I think given the present posture, perhaps setting a next date doesn't make sense. If the parties can discuss —

I'll need to inform Mr. Iverson that perhaps he's going to

Texas. If we could have some time and then contact the Court's clerk about setting up the re-sentencing date formally, that's my first point.

The second is I understand the Court and the government's concern that Mr. Prowler have all the exhibits in front of him. I have concerns that who's ever traveling with the Kiernan declaration may need perhaps an order from the Court or some other — the moment — the materials are arguably in violation of the Adam Walsh Act and some other provisions, and who's ever traveling with those materials or what happens — perhaps the government can mail the materials themselves to a designated person at the facility so that one lawyer from our office is not transporting them and that they can be reviewed in person at the facility and then destroyed after that presentation.

```
THE COURT: Your office is an excellent office, so I
 1
    know that you will figure out the best way to do this, and I
     don't think that I need to be involved in that decision, but I
 3
 4
     do think it's important for him to have the documents before
 5
    him. But I would like to set a date, even if we have to change
 6
    the date, since we have the defendant present.
 7
               So I'm going to ask Mr. Levario, what would be the
    next date available to us. I think this hearing will take
 8
 9
     about two hours.
10
               Next time I won't have to go through again, probably,
11
     the waiver information that I went through today or the
12
     documents that the Court has if you don't file anything more.
13
               But what would be the next date?
14
               I'm not here for the month of May, so I know it won't
    be in May, and I'm only here a part of June, so I think it's
15
16
     going to be a date that's far enough away that everybody will
17
    have time to be ready for it.
18
               THE CLERK: June 21st.
               THE COURT: June 21st. So unless that conflicts with
19
20
     some date -- government's counsel, not a good date for you?
21
               MS. GARNETT: I will be out of the district. If we
22
     could go maybe just one week later.
2.3
               THE COURT: Okay.
               THE CLERK: June 28th.
24
25
```

```
THE COURT: June 28th. That date seem to be good for
 1
    everyone or not good for everyone?
 3
              MS. GARNETT: Not so good.
 4
               THE COURT: Shall we go to July? Because I think
 5
    we're at the end of June.
 6
              MS. GARNETT: Government's counsel would prefer
 7
    August, early August, but that's --
 8
               THE COURT: Well, you know, August is not such a good
 9
    month for the Court. So I don't know whether we have any
10
    August dates, but why don't we do this. Why don't I let Mr.
11
     Levario give us a date in July, then counsel meet and confer,
12
     and if that date is a conflict for you or any witness that you
13
    were hoping to have present or anyone that you think needs to
14
    be present, then you could agree on a different date. So if it
15
    has to go into August -- and it could be an August date.
16
               What would be the July date?
17
               THE CLERK: July the 12th.
18
               THE COURT: July 12th. So I'm going to set it for
19
     July 12th.
20
              At 1:30?
21
               THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: At 1:30, with the understanding that
23
     counsel will meet and confer, and if that date doesn't work,
    you'll get another date from Mr. Levario.
24
25
               And so, Mr. Prowler, we are continuing the matter to
```

```
July the 12th at 1:30, but it may be necessary to even continue
 1
     it beyond that to find a date that's good for everyone.
 3
               You understand?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
               THE COURT:
                          The final question I just wanted to
     ask -- I think I know the answer -- we do not have any of the
 6
 7
     victims present in the courtroom, am I correct?
              MS. GARNETT: No, Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: So there is no one that needs to be
 9
10
     ordered back?
11
              MS. GARNETT: No, Your Honor.
12
              May I make a request, Your Honor?
13
               THE COURT: Yes.
14
              MS. GARNETT: Earlier you had indicated that you had
    not read the initial sentencing position papers, and I would
15
16
     ask if the Court has time to read the initial sentencing
17
    position papers of both parties.
18
               THE COURT: It's not a matter of time. I'll just go
19
     back -- I probably read them, I just don't remember them, so I
20
     should go back and read them anyway so that I can understand
21
     the arguments being made.
22
               What I will do is I will ask Mr. Levario to identify
23
     everything that I think constitutes the previous filings and to
     send that out to both sides, so if there is any other document
24
25
     that's not on the list, if you will advise him of that, then
```

```
1
     I'll review that document as well.
               MR. DYBWAD: And, Your Honor, along those lines, if
 3
     the Court could disclose the confidential recommendation letter
 4
     to the parties.
 5
               THE COURT: I'll ask Mr. Levario to just show it to
 6
     you here while you're in the courtroom.
 7
               MR. DYBWAD: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: Okay.
 9
               MS. GARNETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you. The matter is
11
     continued to the date and time indicated by the Court.
12
               This does complete the hearing today, Mr. Prowler.
13
               THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
          (Proceedings concluded.)
15
                                --000--
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,
4	Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and
5	correct transcript of the stenographically reported
6	proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the
7	transcript page format is in conformance with the
8	regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.
9	
10	Date: SEPTEMBER 27, 2010
11	
12	
13	Cindy L. Nirenberg, CSR No. 5059
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	